

**MINUTES  
KING WILLIAM COUNTY  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
MEETING OF MAY 18, 2015**

A regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of King William County, Virginia, was held on the 18<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2015, beginning at 7:00 p.m. in the Conference Room of the County Administration Building, with the following present:

Terry S. Stone, Chairman  
Stephen K. Greenwood, Vice-Chairman  
C. Thomas Redd III  
Travis J. Moskalski  
Otto O. Williams

K. Charles Griffin, County Administrator  
Daniel M. Stuck, County Attorney

**RE: CALL TO ORDER**

The Chairman called the Board of Supervisors meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. and asked for a roll call vote.

C. T. Redd III	Aye
T. J. Moskalski	Aye
S. K. Greenwood	Aye
T. S. Stone	Aye

**RE: REVIEW OF MEETING AGENDA**

There was general discussion of the meeting agenda items.

Mr. Williams arrived at 7:05 p.m. and joined the meeting already in session.

The Board recessed and moved to the Board Meeting Room of the County Administration Building to continue the meeting.

The Chairman called the meeting back to order at 7:20 p.m.

**RE: ADOPTION OF MEETING AGENDA**

T. J. Moskalski moved for the adoption of the agenda for this meeting as presented by the County Administrator; motion was seconded by C. T. Redd III and approved by the following roll call vote:

T. J. Moskalski	Aye
S. K. Greenwood	Aye
O. O. Williams	Aye
C. T. Redd III	Aye
T. S. Stone	Aye

**RE: PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD – SPEAKERS: ONE OPPORTUNITY OF  
3 MINUTES PER INDIVIDUAL OR 5 MINUTES PER GROUP ON NON-PUBLIC  
HEARING MATTERS**

Chair Stone opened the Public Comment Period.

There being no persons to appear before the Board Chair Stone closed the Public Comment Period.

**RE: CONSENT AGENDA**

C. T. Redd III moved for approval of the following items on the Consent Agenda; motion was seconded by T. J. Moskalski.

Chair Stone called for any discussion.

Mr. Greenwood asked if it is necessary to pay item 8d at this time; Additional Funding for Regional Animal Shelter Septic System Repair. He asked for the status of possible grant funds from Nestle Purina.

Chair Stone noted she has reached out to Nestle Purina, as a follow up to a possible grant, with no return response. She is willing to reach out again but feels since we are nearing the end of a fiscal year this appropriation should be considered; then ask staff to reach out to Nestle Purina to try and secure a grant so these funds would not have to be utilized.

There being no other discussions the consent agenda was approved by the following roll call vote:

S. K. Greenwood	Aye
O. O. Williams	Aye
C. T. Redd III	Aye
T. J. Moskalski	Aye
T. S. Stone	Aye

a. Minutes:

- i. Work Session of April 6, 2015
- ii. Budget and Tax Levies Public Hearings of April 13, 2015
- iii. Budget Work Session of April 17, 2015

b. Claims against the County for the month of May, 2015, in the amount of \$928,190.94 as follows:

(1) General Fund Warrants #80887-80935 in the amount of \$23,453.36, and General Fund Warrants #80937-80984 in the amount of \$270,058.57; ACH Direct Payments #6344-6411 in the amount of \$80,420.86, and ACH Direct Payments #6412-6448 in the amount of \$89,042.85; Direct Deposits #20580-20680 in the amount of \$195,807.21; and Electronic Tax Payment in the amount of \$120,067.71.

(2) For informational purposes, Social Services expenditures for the month of May, 2015, Warrants #310521-310562 in the amount of \$34,005.64; ACH Direct Payments #1467-1480 in the amount of \$11,686.84; Direct Deposits #3487-3503 in the amount of \$27,677.04; and Electronic Tax Payment in the amount of \$16,499.35.

(3) For informational purposes, Comprehensive Services Act Fund expenditures for the month of May, 2015, Warrants #80985-80989 in the amount of \$30,385.51; and ACH Direct Payments #6449-6452 in the amount of \$29,086.00.

(4) There were no tax refunds for the month of May, 2015.

c. Resolution 15-16 as follows:

RESOLUTION 15-16  
A RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE  
TREASURER OF KING WILLIAM COUNTY  
TO ISSUE A REAL ESTATE TAX REFUND  
DUE TO ERRONEOUS ASSESSMENT ON  
TAX PARCEL 51-3A

WHEREAS, the Commissioner of the Revenue has determined an erroneous real estate tax assessment has been made on Tax Parcel 51-3A due to a factual error that included an additional dwelling on the property that was actually on a different property and certified the same to this Board; and

WHEREAS, the Commissioner of the Revenue has determined that the taxpayer paid all taxes due on the erroneous assessment and is entitled to a refund of excess taxes paid for the years 2012, 2013, and 2014, based on the corrected assessment as required by law, in the total amount of \$6,115.36 together with interest and such refund has been consented to by the County Attorney, all as provided for in Section 58.1-3981 of the Code of Virginia; and

WHEREAS, the Board is required to direct the Treasurer to issue a real estate tax refund together with the appropriate amount of interest calculated to the time of refund on the overpayment;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Supervisors of King William County this 18<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2015, directs the Treasurer to issue a refund of \$6,115.36, together with interest to the time of refund, calculated in accordance with law, to the taxpayer(s) paying the same.

d. Resolution 15-14 as follows:

RESOLUTION 15-14  
BUDGET AMENDMENT – REGIONAL ANIMAL SHELTER  
SEWAGE DISPOSAL SYSTEM

WHEREAS, the Regional Animal Shelter septic system was cited in July of 2014 by the Virginia Department of Health for health violations due to water ponding on the septic drain field; and

WHEREAS, County staff, Health Department representatives, and the County's consulting engineer explored possible solutions and developed a recommended solution; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors was advised in September of 2014 of the need to move forward with the recommended solution of developing a new drain field and pumping from a redesigned tank; and

WHEREAS, a contract for performing the work has now been awarded and it is necessary to allocate funding for such purpose;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, the Board of Supervisors of King William County hereby appropriates and approves the necessary transfer of funds as set forth below.

Adjust Fund 204 – Regional Animal Shelter - increase budgeted revenues and expenditures as follows to include those transfers shown from the General Fund contingency:

REVENUE:

Transfer from General Fund (Contingency)	27,500
King & Queen Share (Reimbursement)	<u>27,500</u>
	55,000

EXPENDITURES:

Regional Animal Shelter Repair & Maintenance	55,000
--	--------

**RE: BOARD PRESENTATIONS**

a. Pamunkey Regional Library Update, Tom Shepley, Director – Mr.

Shepley gave a brief update of the long term plans for the Pamunkey Regional Library Board. He noted every Library branch offers an array of services including collections; Wi-Fi; computers; programming; and digital resources. They strive to serve customers effectively by customizing the services they offer that focus on the needs of each local community. He said past plans and objectives included enlarging library buildings; expanding hours of operation; a more user friendly computer system; better communication to customers about services offered; and identifying ways to serve customers while reducing hours of operation due to ongoing funding difficulties.

Continuing, he said for several years some localities and State aide funding sources have been cut. He reviewed extensive research that was gathered beginning in the fall of 2013, to determine the types of available service customers are seeking. He stressed that internet service offered by providers is expensive, limited, and is a big obstacle to overcome when providing services; especially at the Upper King William Library.

Brief discussions were had between Board members and Mr. Shepley on some specifics of library services offered, such as, cost savings for reduced hours of operation at facilities; how shared services are divided on a per capita basis; an app

available to download books and magazines to devices; and how cuts in funding are absorbed.

b. Broadband Update Presentation – Bret Schardein, Director of Community Development – Mr. Schardein gave a brief overview of the options of broadband service for King William County. He reviewed available technologies to meet needs; demands for service; the kinds of service currently available; the issues we have faced in trying to increase broadband in the County; and current and recent efforts being undertaken. He said the combination of wired and wireless technology goes hand and hand to complete a system. He mentioned advantages of wired service are that it is reliable and faster, but is very costly to install. He said wireless service is generally lower in speed; not always reliable; there is a data cap; and it can be expensive. He said some of the pros of wireless service are it is cheaper to install; you can serve a large area with a tower; and the flexibility of deploying where you need service. Demand for internet service is high and will continue to grow. He noted in the existing internet service offered King William ranks 89<sup>th</sup> out of 140 counties in speed; this is a regional problem.

Continuing, he said the available wired service in the County is provided by Comcast. Wireless service is provided by Verizon, AT&T, Sprint, and KQVA; and some satellite from Dish Network. He pointed out that KQVA is operated by King and Queen County; coverage does span into King William County and is offered to those that have coverage and are interested in subscribing.

He explained issues of why we do not have broadband service needed in King William is that the misconception at a state, federal or national level is that we are well covered when looking at coverage data available. Some agencies are not aware of the issues we face with broadband given the data provided to them.

He said issues we face with the Comcast line we have in the County are that we only have a few connections to this service; we are not able to obtain information from the provider on what areas are serviced; and the provider has not allowed any new connections for some time now. One problem with having this line in the County is that federal agencies consider the area as served and therefore we are not eligible for some funds; other localities in the region have run into this same problem.

In the past couple of years, through the efforts of the Middle Peninsula Broadband Authority, some rural broadband grants have been explored. Unfortunately, again, the federal government doesn't consider us rural for these purposes. The bottom line is there is not a profitable model for broadband here; if there was, private industry would be building it; there is no current plan for broadband expansion in the area within the private industry; so it will have to be subsidized in some other form or further incentivized to get private industry here, such as a public system or a grant funded partnership.

He gave a brief overview of the recent developments on funding at the federal level. He noted there are some discussions of loosening the definition of rural, as the guidelines are very stringent and unattainable by most localities. These changes are evolving and remain to be seen.

He said at the local level the Broadband Authority commissioned a broadband study in 2013 and published a report of the findings. He also mentioned the recently adopted telecommunications ordinance to have clearer standards and to expedite tower development. Discussions are underway on the development of a tower in the Manquin area and also additional equipment being installed on an existing tower.

He noted a public hearing is being held Friday in order for the Middle Peninsula Planning District Commission to submit an application for a broadband grant. The grant funds are proposed to be used to prepare service maps that will show exactly where the County is served, and not served. Staff is optimistic about receiving a grant through this program. A meeting has been requested with Comcast representatives to find out more about utilization of the line that is currently installed in King William.

In closing, he said a summary of the options moving forward is to wait for private construction; wireless is continuing to expand, wired not so much; equipment is available to boost the current signal available; marketing and further educating; KQVA is an option for some citizens in King William County; and full public construction, future grants may make this more affordable, however it would be a big undertaking for the County to fund broadband completely on our own. The most likely scenario would be a private partnership where either the authority or someone else would partner with private industry to get service in King William; or in a combination with one of our neighbors.

Mr. Griffin noted that staff will work very hard in terms of investigating all potential federal and state funding possibilities and will keep the Board up to date with any progress.

Mr. Greenwood asked for clarification if a meeting has been scheduled to review any service that may be available through Comcast.

Mr. Schardein said he has reached out to a representative with Comcast and hopefully a meeting will take place soon.

Mr. Redd noted the Town of West Point has coverage with Cox Communication. He speculated if the Town was removed from the rating chart presented what affect that would have on the overall rating for King William.

Mr. Schardein stated he is not sure how West Point is factored into the equation and noted the chart presented was an internet speed study and a very small sample that King William received; the participants of the survey consisted of about four or five people. He suspects the ranking of 89 for King William is probably worse.

Chair Stone asked if King William is in a position to incentivize Comcast to be more responsive.

Mr. Stuck said state code has changed and the ability to franchise is very limited.

Mr. Williams asked why we couldn't get Verizon FiOS to come instead of Comcast.

Mr. Stuck explained some of the changes that have been made to the state code with regards to franchises. He said the regulatory authority has been diminished quite substantially.

Mr. Moskalski said the Middle Peninsula, from an economic standpoint, is lacking a lot in infrastructure. When you look at the other alternatives to developing small businesses and entrepreneurial efforts this is the single largest barrier to having this type of economic development in mass quantities in the region. He said it is important to know statistically that the Middle Peninsula, if you take out the 70% plus of people that leave the area to work, which includes King William, everyday where we have the lowest per capita income in the entire state that the jobs remain in the region. He said this is a bigger issue than just watching movies or social networking; this is a serious barrier to economic development and economic advancement in the region

and bringing in the type of growth that we said we want. He said this needs to be taken seriously as a locality; it is going to take a regional effort. He noted that, without a lot of financial support from the localities, the Broadband Authority is seeking grants and funding elsewhere to try and get this effort moving.

**RE: OLD BUSINESS**

a. Revised Draft of Special Assessment of Land Preservation Ordinance “Land Use” – Mr. Stuck noted the information presented on this matter is for Board consideration to authorize the advertisement of a public hearing to consider adopting proposed changes to the current land preservation ordinance.

Chair Stone called for any discussion.

Mr. Moskalski feels every effort should be made to reach out to those that will be affected by the changes to this ordinance.

Mr. Williams asked for clarification that changes can be made to the proposed ordinance until adopted.

Mr. Stuck confirmed.

Chair Stone clarified the Board has provided guidance and that staff can move forward with advertisement of a public hearing.

**RE: NEW BUSINESS**

a. Public Hearing and Intent to adopt proposed Resolution 15-15 – VDOT Six Year Secondary Road Plan for Fiscal Years 2016 through 2021 for King William County and the Secondary System Construction Budget for Fiscal Year 2015/2016 –

Board members congratulated Ms. McGowan on her recent promotion to the position of the VDOT Saluda Residency Administrator.

i. Presentation – Joyce McGowan, Saluda Residency Administrator, with the Virginia Department of Transportation, addressed the Board and reviewed the proposed FY-2016 through FY-2021 Six Year Secondary Road Plan and the FY-2016 Budget. She stated in updating the six year plan priorities established last year were followed. She said paved priorities are Route 600 – West River Road right turn lane at Route 360 west at the intersection. She said unpaved priorities are Route 631 – Marl Hill Road from Rt. 30 to end of state maintenance; she noted this project has been removed from the unpaved priorities list because the project will be complete in the next couple of months and is fully funded. The second unpaved priority is Route 613 –

Dunluce Road from Route 618 to 1.6 miles east of Route 618; and the third unpaved priority is Route T1003 – Chelsea Road from 0.37 miles north of Route 1014 to the end of state maintenance. She said Route T-1124 – Prospect Street is fully funded and is scheduled to be constructed this season; using funds previously allocated to the Town of West Point.

Continuing, Ms. McGowan stated the plan presented is only for improvements and new construction and does not include maintenance work such as patching pot holes, pulling ditches, snow removal or repaving roads. She noted there was an increase in the amount of allocated funds, as of today, which is an increase as previously submitted in the Board packet. She said this is due in part because of new legislation that changed the formula for unpaved roads for projected allocations.

Chair Stone called for any questions from the Board.

Mr. Moskalski stated he has been approached by citizens in his district to have Green Level Road and Sandy Point Road added to the secondary plan for paving.

Mr. Greenwood asked for clarification on the correct way to report road conditions such as pot holes.

Ms. McGowan explained the process for things such as pot holes should be reported to the call center number so they can be tracked. She said there is a ten day response time for reported issues to be completed.

Chair Stone asked for an inventory list of unpaved roads in order for the Board to review and collectively choose a road to add to the list since funding is available.

Ms. McGowan explained the business at hand is to consider the adoption of the plan presented. Additional funds can be allocated as a place holder in the budget items and can be decided by the Board at a later date; an addendum can be made to the plan at that time.

ii. Public Comments (3 minutes per individual; 5 minutes if representing an organization or group) – Chair Stone declared the public hearing open to receive comments on proposed Resolution 15-15.

There being no persons to appear for or against this matter Chair Stone closed the public comment period.

iii. Consideration – Resolution 15-15 – Chair Stone called for any discussion.

C. T. Redd III moved for approval of Resolution 15-15; motion was seconded by T. J. Moskalski.

Chair Stone called for any further discussions.

There being no other discussions Resolution 15-15 was approved by the following roll call vote:

O. O. Williams	Aye
C. T. Redd III	Aye
T. J. Moskalski	Aye
S. K. Greenwood	Aye
T. S. Stone	Aye

RESOLUTION 15-15  
ADOPTION OF THE  
VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (VDOT)  
SECONDARY SIX-YEAR ROAD PLAN  
FY 2016 THROUGH 2021

WHEREAS, Sections 33.1-23.4 and 33.1-70.01 of the 1950 Code of Virginia, as amended, provides the opportunity for each County to work with the Virginia Department of Transportation in developing a Secondary Six-Year Road Plan; and,

WHEREAS, this Board had previously agreed to assist in the preparation of this Plan, in accordance with the Virginia Department of Transportation policies and procedures, and participated in a public hearing on the proposed Plan (2016 through 2021) as well as the Construction Priority List (2016) on May 18, 2015, after duly advertised so that all citizens of the County had the opportunity to participate in said hearing and to make comments and recommendations concerning the proposed Plan and Priority List; and,

WHEREAS, Joyce McGowan, Residency Administrator, Virginia Department of Transportation, appeared before the Board and recommended approval of the Six-Year Plan and budget for Secondary Roads (2016 through 2021) and the Construction Priority List (2016) for King William County,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that since said Plan and budget appears to be in the best interest of the Secondary Road System in King William County, and of the citizens residing on the Secondary System, said Secondary Six-Year Plan (2016 through 2021) and Construction Priority List (2016) are hereby approved as presented at the public hearing and are hereby included in the meeting minutes.

**SECONDARY SYSTEM CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (in dollars)**

District: Fredericksburg County: King William County Board Approval Date: 2015-17 through 2020-21		PROJECTED FISCAL YEAR ALLOCATIONS										Balance to complete	Traffic Count Scope of Work FHWA # Comments
Route	Road Name Project # Description FROM TO Priority # Length	Estimated Cost Ad Date	Previous Funding SSSYP Funding Other Funding Total	Additional Funding Required	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21			
RL1003	Chetasa Ave. 1003050P13 ROUTE 1003 - SURFACE TREAT NON-HARD SURFACED ROAD 0.37 Mi. N. Rte. 1014 ESM	PE \$10,000 RW \$0 CON \$90,000 Total \$100,000 7/1/2018	\$13,361 \$74,016 \$87,379	\$12,621	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Resurfacing 16005
No Plan 0001.00	Dunluce Road	PE \$5,000 RW \$0 CON \$168,853 Total \$173,853 01/1/2018	\$15,166 \$72,693 \$87,859	\$43,905	\$42,089	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Reconstruction w/ Added Capacity 16004
101407	RT 613 Dunluce Road Reconstruct and Surface Treat NHS RTE 618	PE \$50,000 RW \$200,000 CON \$250,000 Total \$500,000 01/1/2019	\$228,591 \$12,500 \$241,091	\$29,666	\$29,991	\$49,986	\$49,986	\$49,986	\$49,986	\$49,986	\$49,986	\$49,294	Reconstruction w/ Added Capacity 14004
No Plan 0002.00	West River Road	PE \$2,500 RW \$0 CON \$70,193 Total \$72,693 7/1/2019	\$0	\$0	\$22,141	\$50,552	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	County to determine unpaved Road project and advise
106179	RAAP CONTRACT Int. Rte 360 WBL 0.076 Mi. North Int. Rte 360 WBL	PE \$0 RW \$0 CON \$250,000 Total \$250,000 N/A	\$9,000 \$0 \$9,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$692	Preliminary Engineering 0
Minimum Plan 0003.00	Unpaved Road	PE \$0 RW \$0 CON \$250,000 Total \$250,000 N/A	\$9,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$692	16015 MINOR SURVEY & PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING FOR BUDGET ITEMS AND INCIDENTAL TYPE WORK. N/A
RL0600	ROUTE 0000 - SURFACE TREAT NON-HARD SURFACED ROAD Loc. TBD	PE \$0 RW \$0 CON \$250,000 Total \$250,000 N/A	\$58,471 \$0 \$58,471	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0 Safety 16021 TRAFFIC SERVICES INCLUDE SECONDARY SPEED ZONES, SPEED STUDIES, OTHER NEW SECONDARY SIGNS
No Plan 0004.00	COUNTYWIDE ENGINEERING & SURVEY	PE \$0 RW \$0 CON \$250,000 Total \$250,000 N/A	\$58,471	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0 Right of Way 16016 USE WHEN IMPARTIAL TO OPEN A PROJECT. ATTORNEY FEES and ACQUISITION COST. N/A
RL4005	COUNTYWIDE ENGINEERING & SURVEY	PE \$0 RW \$0 CON \$250,000 Total \$250,000 N/A	\$58,471	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0 Right of Way 16016 USE WHEN IMPARTIAL TO OPEN A PROJECT. ATTORNEY FEES and ACQUISITION COST. N/A
S 0006.00	VARIOUS LOCATIONS IN COUNTY	PE \$0 RW \$0 CON \$250,000 Total \$250,000 N/A	\$58,471	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0 Right of Way 16016 USE WHEN IMPARTIAL TO OPEN A PROJECT. ATTORNEY FEES and ACQUISITION COST. N/A
RL4008	COUNTYWIDE RIGHT OF WAY ENGR. VARIOUS LOCATIONS IN COUNTY	PE \$0 RW \$0 CON \$250,000 Total \$250,000 N/A	\$58,471	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0 Right of Way 16016 USE WHEN IMPARTIAL TO OPEN A PROJECT. ATTORNEY FEES and ACQUISITION COST. N/A

VDOT Update – Ms. McGowan gave a brief update on the maintenance projects in King William County. She said pot hole and asphalt repairs are being performed throughout the County and they are of a more permanent fix versus quick fixes that are used during the winter time. Storm water drains are being cleaned in the Town of West Point. Shoulder repairs are also being performed in various areas of the County. Various dirt roads are being redone in order to control dust. She said mowing

will begin on secondary routes on May 26<sup>th</sup>; mowing of primary roads has already begun.

Chair Stone reported a rather significant pipe sticking out of the road close to the McCauley Park entrance on Route 30.

Ms. McGowan said she will look into this and have it fixed.

Chair Stone also mentioned the trash that is thrown on the road when the mowing is being done. She asked who is responsible for cleanup of the debris.

Ms. McGowan said the contractor can pick up the trash prior to mowing or after mowing; either way the contractor is responsible for picking up the litter. She said VDOT is aware this is occurring and the monitors will be checking on the cleanup.

Mr. Greenwood is curious about the bridge work on Route 360 going into King and Queen County.

Ms. McGowan said the deck is being replaced and repairs are being done to the substructure on the bridge just south of the Route 600 intersection. She said repairs should be complete this fall.

Mr. Redd congratulated Ms. McGowan on her promotion and thanked her for her efforts for King William County.

b. Consideration of Advertisement of Tax Exemption Ordinance regarding Farm Animals and Certain Equipment – Mr. Stuck briefly explained that the Commissioner of the Revenue issued a memo to the Board recently explaining that King William County does not have an ordinance in place that exempts certain equipment and farm animals in the county code. He reviewed the changes proposed to be made to the county code which essentially follows state code guidelines.

Ms. Pearson, the Commissioner of the Revenue, clarified that farm trucks with DMV issued license plates are currently being taxed; farm trucks with Farm Bureau issued paper plates are not taxed.

There was a brief discussion between Board members and the County Attorney on the distinction of the types of farm equipment proposed to be taxed. There were some suggestions by Board members on which sections of the state code should be used in the proposed ordinance.

By consensus, the Board authorized the advertisement for a public hearing to be held on a proposed tax Exemption Ordinance regarding Farm Animals and Certain Equipment.

**RE: ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS – K. CHARLES GRIFFIN, COUNTY**

**ADMINISTRATOR**

Mr. Griffin reminded Board members of the semi-annual filing of the Statement of Economics Interest form, required by the State of Virginia and that went into effect this year, is due June 15, 2015.

**RE: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COMMENTS**

Chair Stone opened the Board of Supervisors comment period.

All Board members thanked citizens for attending and participating in the monthly meetings. Condolences were extended to Ms. Langston in the recent passing of her father. They also wished everyone a safe Memorial Day holiday.

Mr. Redd thanked Joe Topham for his years of service with King William County and wished him well in his new endeavors.

Mr. Moskalski also extended his well wishes to Mr. Topham and said he has enjoyed working with him in the past few years. He thanked Mr. Schardein for all of his hard work on possible broadband services for King William.

Chair Stone thanked everyone for attending the meeting.

**RE: APPOINTMENTS**

No appointments were made

**RE: ADJOURNMENT**

There being no other business to come before this board Chair Stone adjourned the meeting at 9:00 p.m.

COPY TESTE:

---

Terry S. Stone, Chair  
Board of Supervisors

---

Bobbi L. Langston  
Deputy Clerk to the Board