

**MINUTES
KING WILLIAM COUNTY
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
MEETING OF JANUARY 28, 2016**

A regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of King William County, Virginia, was held on the 28th day of January, 2016, beginning at 7:00 p.m. in the Conference Room of the County Administration Building, with the following present:

Stephen K. Greenwood, Chairman
Travis J. Moskalski, Vice-Chairman
William L. Hodges
David E. Hansen
Robert W. Ehrhart II

K. Charles Griffin, County Administrator
Daniel M. Stuck, County Attorney

RE: CALL TO ORDER

The Chairman called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. The members were polled:

W. L. Hodges	Aye
T. J. Moskalski	Aye
S. K. Greenwood	Aye
D. E. Hansen	Aye
R. W. Ehrhart II	Aye

RE: REVIEW OF MEETING AGENDA

There was general discussion of the meeting agenda items.

The Board recessed and moved to the Board Meeting Room of the County Administration Building to continue the meeting.

The Chairman called the meeting back to order at 7:15 p.m.

RE: ADOPTION OF MEETING AGENDA

Supervisor Moskalski moved for the adoption of the agenda for this meeting as presented by the County Administrator with the following changes: a Closed Meeting was added and becomes item 7 all items following were renumbered; under Consent Agenda item e under Presentations was moved and now becomes item c, item d Consideration of Resolution 16-11 was added, item e Consideration of Resolution 16-12 was added; under Presentations item d was moved and now becomes item c, items f, g, and h were removed; and under New Business item c was removed, item d Consideration of Resolution 16-10 was revised and renamed "Resolution 16-10(R)", item e Discussion of King William County membership participation in the Middle

Peninsula Planning District Commission was added; the amended agenda was approved by the following roll call vote:

T. J. Moskalski	Aye
D. E. Hansen	Aye
R. W. Ehrhart II	Aye
W. L. Hodges	Aye
S. K. Greenwood	Aye

RE: CLOSED MEETING

Motion was made by T. J. Moskalski, seconded by R. W. Ehrhart II, that the Board enter Closed Meeting pursuant to § 2.2-3711(A)(7) of the Code of Virginia, (1) to consult with legal counsel on a matter of actual litigation in which the County is involved, namely the case of Nestle Purina vs King William County; and (2) to receive advice of counsel regarding legal issues surrounding appropriations to the King William County School Board. The members were polled:

D. E. Hansen	Aye
R. W. Ehrhart II	Aye
W. L. Hodges	Aye
T. J. Moskalski	Aye
S. K. Greenwood	Aye

Having completed the closed meeting, Chairman Greenwood called the meeting back to order in open session.

Chairman Greenwood called for a motion to approve Standing Resolution 1 (SR-1). In accordance with Section 2.2-3717(D) of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended, T. J. Moskalski moved that the King William County Board of Supervisors adopt the following SR-1 resolution certifying that the closed meeting was conducted in conformity with the requirements of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act; motion was seconded by R. W. Ehrhart II.

Chairman Greenwood announced the motion was properly moved and properly seconded; he called for any discussion. There being no discussion among Board members the SR-1 was adopted. The members were polled:

D. E. Hansen	Aye
R. W. Ehrhart II	Aye
W. L. Hodges	Aye
T. J. Moskalski	Aye
S. K. Greenwood	Aye

STANDING RESOLUTION – 1 (SR-1):

A RESOLUTION TO CERTIFY COMPLIANCE WITH THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT REGARDING MEETING IN CLOSED MEETING

WHEREAS, the King William County Board of Supervisors has convened a closed meeting on this date pursuant to an affirmative recorded vote, and in accordance with the provisions of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act; and,

WHEREAS, Section 2.2-3711 of the Code of Virginia requires a certification by the King William County Board of Supervisors that such closed meeting was conducted in conformity with Virginia law,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the King William County Board of Supervisors on this 28th day of January, 2016, hereby certifies that, to the best of each member's knowledge:

1. Only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements under the Freedom of Information Act were heard, discussed, or considered in the closed meeting to which this certification resolution applies, by the King William County Board of Supervisors.
2. Only such public business matters as were identified in the motion convening the closed meeting were heard, discussed, or considered by the King William County Board of Supervisors.

**RE: PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD – SPEAKERS: ONE OPPORTUNITY OF
3 MINUTES PER INDIVIDUAL OR 5 MINUTES PER GROUP ON NON-PUBLIC
HEARING MATTERS**

Chairman Greenwood opened the Public Comment Period.

1. Eugene L. Campbell, Jr., of the 2nd District, congratulated the reelected and newly elected Board members. He trusts the Board will not make hasty decisions when going through the budget process. He asked for consideration of Routes 637 and 642 to be added to the VDOT Six Year Secondary Road Plan; noting the condition of both roads is very rough. He said VDOT currently maintains these roads but he feels with increased traffic this becomes less effective, more costly, and a permanent solution is needed.

2. Stacy Johnson, Interim Superintendent for King William County Public Schools, is a 20 year employee of the schools and a resident of King William County. She noted all King William schools are fully accredited by the Virginia Department of Education. King William schools have been, and continue to be, a top notch school division because of the hard working staff members, support of stakeholders and up until this month a Board of Supervisors' who worked with the School Board. She is alarmed that current Board of Supervisor members are considering categorical funding for the school system, since they have continuously demonstrated they are fiscally responsible. She feels proposing categorical funding sends an undeserved message of distrust to the School Board and professional school staff. In her opinion,

categorical limitations will inhibit operations and has the capacity to put students in jeopardy. This process could cause delays and the ability to purchase necessary items or materials in a timely fashion. She asked the Board to consider allowing them to continue to do the great job they are doing; and to work with them and not against them. She is confident knowing all of their accomplishments, that each of the School Board members will work toward common goals that support academic excellence and demonstrate a commitment to the students and the community. In closing, she said the students deserve this.

3. Lindsey Robinson, of the 4th District and the current 4th District King William County Public School Board Representative, is serving a second term. She loves being a school board member and believes all associated with public schools from board members, central office staff, administrators, teachers, bus drivers, custodians, students and parents are all working together to support one of our greatest assets, an education. As a tax payer she genuinely cares about King William County and the future generations. She feels the Board of Supervisors should be focusing on other key areas and asked why the focus is not on the overall tax rate which prohibits economic growth. She noted the School Board has been working on a level budget with an increasing population in the school system; Hamilton Holmes is bursting at the seams due to larger class sizes. She refutes statements made publically by Supervisor Hansen that the overwhelming majority of constituents in the 4th District agree with his political agenda to set categorical limitations and cut funding to the schools; many constituents do not agree with him. She urged the Board to reconsider substantially changing the way funding is handled. She asked the Board to not vote solely on a personal agenda as an attempt to take control away for the elected School Board.

4. Kathy Morrison, of the 2nd District and Chairman of the King William County Public School Board, congratulated the Board members on winning during the recent election and their willingness to serve in this role. She thanked Mr. Moskalski, for his continued support in the school system, his demonstration and ability to understand the complexities of school operations, and his attempts to inform new Board members based on his experiences. She thanked Mr. Hodges for his compliments on education in King William Schools; it is refreshing to hear his

acknowledgements of their efforts. She also thanked Mr. Greenwood for the time he has spent asking questions to better understand the School Board's decisions; for his support even though he votes no for budget approval because he feels there are no cuts. She said in reality the schools experience cuts every year they are level funded because there is no money to provide for inflation and increased costs associated with doing business. Mr. Hansen has some strong opinions about ways to improve King William school system such as salaries and raises for teachers and wants to exert control over spending, which could be detrimental to the ability to operate successfully. She thanked Mr. Ehrhart for touring the school; but feels he too has strong opinions on expectations of the School Board's performance. He seeks information to allow him to make judgments about their decision making. He requests for information is a burden on our employees who must dig through and provide figures in a different format from reports that are complete and filed annually.

Continuing, she said she sought legal assistance with understanding the Code of Virginia as she was interested in the budget process and the role of the Board of Supervisors and School Board members. The Board of Supervisors have the power to determine the amount of funding that will be appropriated for the school system a result of their analysis of the needs based budget that the school board presents and other obligations to the local government operations. They also have the power to decide how they will give the schools money, lump sum or categorical, they do not have the power to tell the schools how to spend the appropriations or to create policy for the School Board. When appropriations are received the School Board revises the budget as needed and makes decisions based on priorities and needs using the resources available from the local, state, and federal funding. Now the FY2017 budget season begins, they endured categorical appropriations in 2004 through 2006, and feels they have done nothing to deserve mistrust, or a deeper level of scrutiny, or the attempt to control spending. They have proven their ability to be fiscally responsible with outcomes being very successful for the students. She hopes all of the Board of Supervisors will recognize the value of King William County Public School System to King William County. Many citizens and employees believe the services and programs are under attack and the schools could be damaged. She asked that while we work together to take care of our students to please foster a collaborative

relationship, similar to the experiences in the past, which will include mutual trust and respect and understanding of the School Boards role as elected officials.

5. Charles Piersa, of the 2nd District and President of the Farm Bureau, urged the Board to not change the allocation of funding to the schools. He feels the School Board members do a fine job. He feels education has improved through accreditation and other things. He feels any changes to the funding will hinder the schools and hurt them in the future. The School Board is elected by the citizens to run the schools; not the Board of Supervisors. He said categorical funding for the schools was tried before and failed; we should learn from experience.

There being no other persons to appear before the Board Chairman Greenwood closed the Public Comment Period.

RE: CONSENT AGENDA

Supervisor Moskalski moved for approval of the following items on the Consent Agenda as amended, motion was seconded by Supervisor Ehrhart.

Chairman Greenwood called for any discussion.

There being no discussions the consent agenda was approved by the following roll call vote:

R. W. Ehrhart II	Aye
W. L. Hodges	Aye
T. J. Moskalski	Aye
D. E. Hansen	Aye
S. K. Greenwood	Aye

a. Minutes:

i. Regular Meeting of December 14, 2015

b. Claims against the County for the month of January, 2016, in the amount of \$1,396,662.39 as follows:

(1) General Fund Warrants #81747-81835 in the amount of \$365,179.76; ACH Direct Payments #7217-7323 in the amount of \$561,315.10; Direct Deposits #21580-21690 in the amount of \$195,784.99; and Electronic Tax Payment in the amount of \$120,067.56.

(2) For informational purposes, Social Services expenditures for the month of January, 2016, Warrants #310791-310816 in the amount of \$15,640.98; ACH Direct Payments #1624-1645 in the amount of \$10,957.55; Direct Deposits #3652-

3670 in the amount of \$32,536.35; and Electronic Tax Payment in the amount of \$18,571.47.

(3) For informational purposes, Comprehensive Services Act Fund expenditures for the month of January, 2016, Warrants #81836-81838 in the amount of \$5,304.31; and ACH Direct Payments #7324-7329 in the amount of \$71,304.32.

(4) There were no tax refunds for the month of January, 2016.

c. Resolution 16-02 as follows:

Resolution 16-02
KING WILLIAM COUNTY
RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION

WHEREAS, Mrs. Terry S. Stone has served on the King William County Board of Supervisors for the full term of four years, representing the 4th District with great character and distinction since January 1, 2012; and

WHEREAS, Mrs. Stone has given selflessly of her time, talent and wisdom to serve her fellow King William County citizens; and

WHEREAS, Mrs. Stone has provided exceptional leadership to King William County, serving as Chair of the Board of Supervisors; and

WHEREAS, Mrs. Stone served with dedication and wisdom on the King William County School Board for two full terms totaling 8 years and as the County Board of Supervisors' representative on the Bay Consortium Local Workforce Investment Board,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the King William County Board of Supervisors with much respect and gratitude extends its appreciation and recognition to Mrs. Terry S. Stone for her 4 years of leadership, dedication and service that she has given to King William County.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that a copy of this resolution expressing the appreciation and respect of this Board of Supervisors on this matter shall be conveyed to Mrs. Terry S. Stone and shall be spread upon the meeting minutes of said Board of Supervisors.

d. Resolution 16-11 as follows:

Resolution 16-11
Declaration of a Local Emergency

WHEREAS, the King William County Board of Supervisors does hereby find:

1. That due to a severe snow storm, King William County is facing/faced dangerous conditions;
2. That due to the severe snow storm, conditions of extreme peril to life and property necessitates/necessitated the proclamation of the existence of a local emergency;
3. The Director of Emergency Management has declared a local emergency.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the King William County Board of Supervisors consents to and ratifies the declaration of a local emergency by the Director of Emergency Management and the emergency now/or did exist throughout King William County.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that during the existence of said emergency, the powers, functions, and duties of the Emergency Management Organization of King William County shall be/were those prescribed by State Law and the Ordinances, Resolutions, and approved plans of King William County in order to mitigate the effects of said emergency.

d. Resolution 16-12 as follows:

Resolution 16-12
Resolution Declaring the End of a Local Emergency

WHEREAS, the King William County Board of Supervisors does hereby find:

That on January 23, 2016, the County Administrator in accordance with State Code Section 44-146.21 declared a local emergency due to a severe snow storm which occurred from January 22, 2016 through January 24, 2016. On January 28, 2016, the Board of Supervisors ratified the Declaration of Local Emergency set on January 23, 2016, by the County Administrator, and the governing body, when in its judgment all emergency actions have been taken, shall take appropriate action to end the declaration of emergency. The County has ended its emergency phase in the above named event and has begun its recovery phase as defined in the County's Emergency Operations Plan.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the King William County Board of Supervisors that the Declaration of Local Emergency of January 23, 2016 ended through King William County effective January 28, 2016.

RE: BOARD PRESENTATIONS

a. Resolution 16-08 – Supervisor Ehrhart moved for approval of Resolution 16-08; motion was seconded by Supervisor Hansen.

Chairman Greenwood called for any discussion.

There being no discussions Resolution 16-08 was approved by the following roll call vote:

W. L. Hodges	Aye
T. J. Moskalski	Aye
D. E. Hansen	Aye
R. W. Ehrhart II	Aye
S. K. Greenwood	Aye

Chairman Greenwood presented the resolution of appreciation to Stephen Luke Bliley, while Supervisor Ehrhart read the resolution aloud:

Resolution 16-08
KING WILLIAM COUNTY
RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION

WHEREAS, Stephen Luke Bliley, age 16, is a member of Boy Scout Troup 505; based in Mechanicsville, Virginia; and

WHEREAS, Stephen earned the seventh and highest rank of Eagle Scout in the Boy Scouts of America on January 27, 2015; and

WHEREAS, for his Eagle Scout Project he repaired and painted the Church Nursery of the Beulah Baptist Church in Aylett in King William County; and

WHEREAS, Stephen has given selflessly of his time, talent and energy to serve his church, the Beulah Baptist Church in Aylett in King William County,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the King William County Board of Supervisors with much respect and gratitude extends its appreciation to Stephen Luke Bliley for his service to the Beulah Baptist Church and extends its recognition to him for his significant accomplishment for achieving the highest rank of Eagle Scout in the Boy Scouts of America.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that a copy of this Resolution expressing the appreciation and respect of this Board of Supervisors on this matter shall be conveyed to Stephen Luke Bliley and shall be spread upon the meeting minutes of said Board of Supervisors.

b. Resolution 16-09 – Supervisor Ehrhart moved for approval of Resolution 16-09; motion was seconded by Supervisor Hansen.

Chairman Greenwood called for any discussion.

There being no discussions Resolution 16-09 was approved by the following roll call vote:

T. J. Moskalski	Aye
D. E. Hansen	Aye
R. W. Ehrhart II	Aye
W. L. Hodges	Aye
S. K. Greenwood	Aye

Chairman Greenwood presented the resolution of appreciation to Seth Christian Bliley, while Supervisor Ehrhart read the resolution aloud:

Resolution 16-09
KING WILLIAM COUNTY
RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION

WHEREAS, Seth Christian Bliley, age 14, is a member of Boy Scout Troup 505; based in Mechanicsville, Virginia; and

WHEREAS, Seth earned the seventh and highest rank of Eagle Scout in the Boy Scouts of America on November 3, 2015; and

WHEREAS, for his Eagle Scout Project he designed, built and donated a set of indoor soccer goals to his high school, King William High School; and

WHEREAS, Seth has given selflessly of his time, talent and energy to serve his school, King William High School,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the King William County Board of Supervisors with much respect and gratitude extends its appreciation to Seth Christian Bliley for his service to King William High School and extends its recognition to him for his significant accomplishment for achieving the highest rank of Eagle Scout in the Boy Scouts of America.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that a copy of this Resolution expressing the appreciation and respect of this Board of Supervisors on this matter shall be conveyed to Seth Christian Bliley and shall be spread upon the meeting minutes of said Board of Supervisors.

c. Resolution of Appreciation to C. T. Redd III for his years of service to the Social Services Board – Anne Mitchell, Director of King William County Social Services presenting – Ms. Mitchell introduced Ms. Thasia Bradley, Chair of the Social Services Board, to read the following resolution of appreciation for Mr. Redd.

KING WILLIAM COUNTY
BOARD OF SOCIAL SERVICES
RESOLUTION of APPRECIATION
Charles T. (Tom) Redd, III

WHEREAS, Charles T. (Tom) Redd, III was appointed to serve on the King William County Board of Social Services as a representative of the King William Board of Supervisors in July 2013 and has been an active member of the Board for 2 ½ years; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Redd has been a faithful advocate for meeting the needs of those in his community; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Redd has been diligent in his commitment to the staff and administration of the King William County Department of Social Services; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Redd has sought solutions for those who are underserved without wanting recognition; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the King William County Board of Social Services expresses its appreciation to Charles T. (Tom) Redd, III for his valuable contributions to the well-being of the citizens of King William County and the Administration and Staff of the King William County Department of Social Services; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that a copy of this Resolution expressing the sense of this Board of Social Services on this matter shall be conveyed to Mr. Redd, and shall be spread upon the meeting minutes of said Board of Social Services.

Adopted this 14th day of December 2015.

Thasia Bradley, Chair
Mandy Trainum
M. Paulette Washington
Brenda H. Clements

Mr. Redd thanked Ms. Mitchell and the Social Services Board for the recognition.

d. Resolution 16-01 – Supervisor Hodges moved for approval of Resolution 16-01; motion was seconded by Supervisor Moskalski.

Chairman Greenwood called for any discussion.

There being no discussions Resolution 16-01 was approved by the following roll call vote:

D. E. Hansen	Aye
R. W. Ehrhart II	Aye
W. L. Hodges	Aye
T. J. Moskalski	Aye
S. K. Greenwood	Aye

Chairman Greenwood presented the resolution of appreciation to C. Thomas Redd III, while Supervisor Moskalski read the resolution aloud:

Resolution 16-01
KING WILLIAM COUNTY
RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION

WHEREAS, Mr. C. Thomas Redd III has served on the King William County Board of Supervisors for seven full terms, totaling 28 years, representing the 1st District with great character and distinction since January 1, 1988; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Redd has given selflessly of his time, talent and wisdom to serve his fellow King William County citizens; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Redd has provided exceptional leadership to King William County, serving as Chair of the Board of Supervisors on multiple occasions; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Redd worked with his fellow Supervisors to creatively envision, plan, fund and successfully construct facilities critical to the citizens of King William County, including: the new King William County Courthouse/Sheriff Complex; the Regional Animal Shelter, that is creatively funded and operated with neighboring King and Queen County; and the King William County Social Services Department/Health Department Building; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Redd worked with his fellow Supervisors to develop and implement a plan that successfully brought a natural gas main to: WestRock, King William County's largest employer; to Nestle Purina, King William County's second largest employer; to the Town of West Point; and to King William County's prime commercial Hwy 360 corridor; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Redd worked with energy and creativity with his fellow Supervisors to develop a split levy property tax solution for providing schools and services to both King William County and the Town of West Point citizens; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Redd served with dedication and wisdom as the King William County Board of Supervisors' representative on the Social Services Board for 10 years,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the King William County Board of Supervisors with much respect and gratitude extends its appreciation and recognition to Mr. C. Thomas Redd III for his 28 years of leadership, dedication and service that he has given to King William County.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that a copy of this resolution expressing the appreciation and respect of this Board of Supervisors on this matter shall be conveyed to Mr. C. Thomas Redd III and shall be spread upon the meeting minutes of said Board of Supervisors.

Mr. Redd thanked the Board for the resolution of appreciation. He also thanked his constituents and Board members he has had the opportunity to work with over the past 28 years. The main person he wants to thank publically is his wife, sitting in the audience, saying that she has put up with all the years of him serving on this Board. He said members will soon find out this is not an easy job and it takes a lot of time. He would like to leave with a reminder that the Town of West Point is a valuable part of King William County and should not be forgotten, adding that all of the citizens pay a

lot of taxes. He urged the Board not to jeopardize the schools on a personal agenda. He also stressed that during the budget process the tax levy should be reviewed and understood because this affects everyone in the County, not just the Town of West Point. In closing, he quoted Redd Skelton "Good Night and God Bless".

e. Resolution 16-03 – Supervisor Moskalski moved for approval of Resolution 16-03; motion was seconded by Supervisor Hodges.

Chairman Greenwood called for any discussion.

There being no discussions Resolution 16-03 was approved by the following roll call vote:

R. W. Ehrhart II	Aye
W. L. Hodges	Aye
T. J. Moskalski	Aye
D. E. Hansen	Aye
S. K. Greenwood	Aye

Chairman Greenwood presented the resolution of appreciation to Otto O. Williams, while Supervisor Moskalski read the resolution aloud:

Resolution 16-03
KING WILLIAM COUNTY
RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION

WHEREAS, Mr. Otto Orlando Williams has served on the King William County Board of Supervisors for three full terms, totaling 12 years, representing the 5th District with great character and distinction since January 1, 2004; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Williams has given selflessly of his time, talent and wisdom to serve his fellow King William County citizens; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Williams has provided exceptional leadership to King William County, serving as Chair of the Board of Supervisors; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Williams served with dedication and wisdom as the King William County representative on the King William County Planning Commission, the Middle Peninsula Planning District Commission, and the Middle Peninsula Regional Jail Authority always investing the time and diligence to make informed and wise decisions,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the King William County Board of Supervisors with much respect and gratitude extends its appreciation and recognition to Mr. Otto Orlando Williams for his 12 years of leadership, dedication and service that he has given to King William County.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that a copy of this resolution expressing the appreciation and respect of this Board of Supervisors on this matter shall be conveyed to Mr. Otto Orlando Williams and shall be spread upon the meeting minutes of said Board of Supervisors.

Mr. Williams thanked the Board for the resolution of appreciation and said 12 years was a good run. During his serving as a member he tried to look out for all of the citizens in King William County, not just the 5th District citizens. He said all citizens pay

taxes and deserve representation. He hopes this Board survives and does not destroy the county he has enjoyed living in for 33 years.

Supervisor Moskalski stated on behalf of Mr. Williams and Mr. Redd that he has a deep personal gratitude to both for their training and coaching of him as he was a new member of this board. He feels both were influential in how he adapted and was able to serve this board. He thinks he is a better man for knowing them and certainly a better public servant. He thanked them for their wisdom, passion, and dedication to the community. In closing, he said they both will be missed.

RE: OLD BUSINESS

No old business was brought before the Board

RE: NEW BUSINESS

a. Resolution 16-07 – A resolution establishing a written policy for participation in Board meetings through electronic communication and to amend the adopted By-Laws and Rules of Procedures – Mr. Stuck briefly described the draft resolution adding an electronic attendance policy to the rules and procedures before the Board for consideration. The draft incorporates all of the legal requirements and leaves out administrative details that are redundant. He incorporated one modification that involves absence for a medical reason limiting to twice a year. Should a member develop a medical condition that would cause many meetings to be missed then the Board could easily amend the policy, if the majority felt it was warranted.

Supervisor Hansen moved for the approval of Resolution 16-07; motion was seconded by Supervisor Moskalski.

Chairman Greenwood called for any discussion.

Supervisor Ehrhart asked for clarification if a work session constitutes a meeting.

The County Attorney stated any adopted meeting of the Board constitutes a meeting.

Chairman Greenwood called for any further discussions.

There being no other discussions Resolution 16-07 was approved by the following roll call vote:

W. L. Hodges	Aye
T. J. Moskalski	Aye
D. E. Hansen	Aye

R. W. Ehrhart II Aye
S. K. Greenwood Aye

RESOLUTION 16-07
A RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING A WRITTEN POLICY FOR PARTICIPATION IN
BOARD MEETINGS THROUGH ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATION

WHEREAS, Virginia Code § 2.2-3708.1 allows members of local governing bodies to participate in a meeting through electronic communication means from a remote location in certain situations; and

WHEREAS, a written policy must be established for such participation; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors has adopted By-Laws and Rules of Procedure for the conduct of its meetings and wishes to amend those rules to incorporate a policy governing electronic communication,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of King William County that the following policy is established as Section 1-3.1 of its By-Laws and Rules of Procedure to govern any member's remote electronic participation in Board meetings:

Section 1-3.1 Electronic Participation in Board Meetings

- (1) On or before the day of a meeting, the member shall notify the Chairman and the Clerk that the member is unable to attend the meeting due to an emergency or a personal matter. The member must identify with specificity the nature of the emergency or personal matter. A member may also notify the Chairman and the Clerk that the member is unable to attend a meeting due to a temporary or permanent disability or other medical condition that prevents the member's physical attendance, but need not disclose the specific nature of such condition.
- (2) A quorum of the Board must be physically assembled at the primary or central meeting location. The Board members present must approve the participation; however, the decision shall be based solely on compliance with the conditions contained in this section, without regard to the identity of the member or matters that will be considered or voted on during the meeting. The Clerk shall record in the minutes the specific nature of the emergency or personal matter, or the existence of a medical disability (but not the specific nature of such disability) and the remote location from which the absent member participated. The approval or disapproval of the absent member's remote participation shall be recorded in the meeting minutes and if participation is disapproved because such participation would violate this policy, the facts constituting such violation shall also be recorded.
- (3) Participation by the absent member shall be limited in each calendar year to two (2) meetings of the Board.
- (4) The Clerk shall make arrangements for the voice of the absent member to be heard by all persons in attendance at the meeting location.

b. Resolution 16-04 – A resolution supporting the tradition of hunting with dogs in King William County – Mr. Griffin noted Delegate Chris Peace asked for support from King William County for the tradition of hunting with dogs.

Supervisor Moskalski introduced proposed Resolution 16-04 for consideration noting he was contacted by Delegate Chris Peace asking for support of

this tradition. He has spoken to hunters in King William County and they are in support of the continuation of this sport.

Supervisor Moskalski moved for approval of Resolution 16-04; motion was seconded by Supervisor Hodges.

Chairman Greenwood called for any discussion.

Supervisor Ehrhart stated that while dogs are semi-intelligent they do not know boundaries and are not respectful of properties like people are. He feels other property rights are involved, such as those that do not want dogs to enter their property. He has personally encountered people that have put dogs out on large tracts of land and let them run across other subdivisions; especially as deer get pushed they get down into residential areas. He has come home to find his cat up in a tree because of hunting dogs in his yard. He has concerns with this resolution basically giving priority to one property right over others.

Chairman Greenwood asked for clarification of the paragraph in the resolution that pertains to the right of property owners to protect against trespassers.

Supervisor Moskalski said this is not a force of law. He explained the American model of wildlife management is the natural resource of game is not held by the property owner; it is held as a right and a property of the community and is an American legal tradition that protects this. What is being sought is that we do not seek support or any action from the DGIF or General Assembly that would inhibit the rights of our dog hunting citizens to carry on the practice of hunting with hounds.

Chairman Greenwood called for any further discussions.

There being no other discussions Resolution 16-04 was approved by the following roll call vote:

T. J. Moskalski	Aye
D. E. Hansen	Nay
R. W. Ehrhart II	Nay
W. L. Hodges	Aye
S. K. Greenwood	Aye

RESOLUTION 16-04
A RESOLUTION SUPPORTING THE TRADITION OF
HUNTING WITH DOGS IN KING WILLIAM COUNTY

WHEREAS, King William County has a tradition of hunting with dogs that is as old as the County itself; and

WHEREAS, the King William County tradition of hunting with dogs contributes significantly to wildlife management, and enhances public safety by reducing motor

vehicle collisions, reduces crop damage, and helps to control the spread of wildlife diseases to the benefit of the natural environment; and

WHEREAS, the King William County tradition of hunting with dogs is practiced on land throughout King William County and is a source of economic activity and a major resource management tool; and

WHEREAS, the King William County tradition of hunting with dogs is a wholesome, family-oriented heritage that teaches self-reliance, individual responsibility, and the values of community stewardship of our natural resources endowed upon us by a benevolent Creator; and

WHEREAS, hunters throughout the Commonwealth, our Region, and King William County participate in charitable giving by donating a portion of their game harvest to feed the hungry, help form conservation movements, promote laws to stop the uncontrolled exploitation of wildlife, and work to establish gaming laws that are backed by enforcement; and

WHEREAS, King William County recognizes the North American model of wildlife management as the only successful model worldwide because the wildlife resource is held in common for all people and not just the landowner; and

WHEREAS, King William County landowners are exceedingly generous with their support of hunting by allowing hunters to use their private property to pursue their sport and manage the wildlife populations; and

WHEREAS, the governing body of King William County recognizes that we must remain vigilant in the protection of these traditions and this valued way of life by supporting legal methods that protect our inherent rights to hunt with dogs, while also allowing for the enforcement against the inhumane treatment of hunting dogs and the right of property owners to protect against trespassers,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the King William County Board of Supervisors that we hereby proclaim to our citizens, those of the region, and our great Commonwealth that the governing body of King William County supports the right to hunt with dogs, and further maintains our stance for the need for enforcement of animal cruelty and current state trespassing laws; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the King William County Board of Supervisors supports our heritage of hunting with dogs and opposes any actions on the part of the Virginia Department of Game and Inland Fisheries and the General Assembly of Virginia that are detrimental to that tradition.

c. Resolution 16-06 – A resolution requesting the Virginia General Assembly pass HJR50 requesting that the Department of Education study the effect of local use value assessment of certain real estate on the composite index of local ability to pay – the County Administrator introduced Resolution 16-06 in support of House Joint Resolution No. 50.

Supervisor Ehrhart moved for approval of Resolution 16-06; motion was seconded by Supervisor Hansen.

Chairman Greenwood called for any discussion.

Supervisor Moskalski noted his support of this resolution and feels the farm bureau, and the farm bureau at large does as well. He said this has the potential to have our farming culture ingrained into how our local composite index is calculated which holds tremendous value not only to the County, having our rural heritage and our land use policies benefit us in the form of State monies for education, but also to benefit the farmers in their practice in the land use policy more protected as part of a benefit in the County.

Chairman Greenwood called for any further discussions.

There being no other discussions Resolution 16-06 was approved by the following roll call vote:

D. E. Hansen	Aye
R. W. Ehrhart II	Aye
W. L. Hodges	Aye
T. J. Moskalski	Aye
S. K. Greenwood	Aye

Resolution 16-06
A RESOLUTION REQUESTING
THE VIRGINIA GENERAL ASSEMBLY PASS
HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 50 REQUESTING THAT
THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION STUDY THE EFFECT OF
LOCAL USE VALUE ASSESSMENT OF CERTAIN REAL ESTATE ON
THE COMPOSITE INDEX OF LOCAL ABILITY TO PAY

WHEREAS, the Commonwealth's elementary and secondary education funding formula determines the Composite Index of Local Ability to Pay (Composite Index) by taking into consideration the weighted true value of real estate in a locality; and

WHEREAS, 95 localities, including King William County, have adopted ordinances to provide for the use value assessment and taxation of certain classifications of real estate; and

WHEREAS the Composite Index calculation does not take local use value assessments into consideration; and

WHEREAS the Board of Supervisors of King William County desire to increase revenues necessary for its elementary and secondary schools,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the King William County Board of Supervisors, that the Virginia General Assembly give favorable consideration to House Joint Resolution No. 50 requesting that the Department of Education study the effect of local use value assessment of certain real estate on the composite index of local ability to pay.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Administrator is directed to transmit copies of this resolution to Delegates Christopher Peace and Keith Hodges and Senator Thomas Norment, Jr., so that they may be made aware of the Board's position regarding House Joint Resolution No. 50.

d. Resolution 16-10(R) – A resolution allocating local appropriations to the King William County Public Schools in accordance with the Code of Virginia § 22.194 utilizing the categorical areas set forth by the Code of Virginia § 22.1-115 – Ms. Six, Director of Financial Services, gave a brief summary of Resolution 16-10(R) for Board consideration. She noted in the January 2016 work session it was proposed to consider a change in how the annual local contribution to the King William County Public Schools is appropriated. Currently, KWCPS receives an annual lump sum appropriation that is dispersed by the Department of Financial Services to the School Operating and Debt Service Funds. The proposed change would allocate funding based on authority given to local governments found in code section 22.1-94. Allocation of funding would be based on code section 22.1-115, which would require monthly disbursements to the nine operational areas defined in by the code. Another impact of this change is the School Board would no longer have the ability to transfer funds between categories to meet unexpected needs or take advantage of opportunities that have presented themselves during the year without approval of the Board of Supervisors.

She said one of the reasons offered for changing to this allocation method is to offer accountability of where local school funds are being spent each year to the taxpayers. Without changing how funding is allocated there are current resources already available either in the local financial system or in the state's Superintendent's Annual Report for Virginia to achieve the same accountability. In the local budget, produced by the joint County and School financial system, funds are broken down into specific funding areas either by categorization within a fund or pulled apart into a separate fund. She provided a chart that summarized the breakdown of information that currently exists in the financial system with no change in how the County or Schools currently operate.

She noted that the County Finance office works with the Schools Finance office on a daily basis, the same financial system is shared, working behind the scenes and are intertwined regularly. She noted in King William County the School Fund is not authorized to hold a fund balance, each year at the close of the fiscal year during the annual audit process, any surplus funding is transferred back to the County and restricted for future school use. This surplus funding can only be appropriated for use

with the approval of the Board of Supervisors. The schools have consistently come under budget and made additions to this reserve. This has allowed the King William Board of Supervisors to allocate prior year money to make capital investments in the schools and ease pressure on current year funding. The school districts strong fiscal management has allowed for a School Reserve Fund to be established which in turn has assisted the Board of Supervisors in meeting school needs each year. She briefly reviewed the research performed by her office comparing other localities in our region with elected school boards.

In conclusion, staff recommends better communication and financial updates throughout the fiscal year between the School Board and Board of Supervisors utilizing the current system. The suggestion will set the foundation to achieve the accountability and transparency desired by the Board while maintaining the School Board's ability to efficiently manage the KWCPs. With that being said, if the Board desires to change to categorical funding, the current budget appropriation structure can be adjusted fairly easily to accomplish this directive.

Supervisor Hansen asked for Ms. Six to read the last sentence of her memo of January 25, 2016, she just reviewed.

Ms. Six repeated the last sentence of the memo "With that being said, if the Board desires to change to categorical funding, the current budget appropriation structure can be adjusted fairly easily to accomplish this directive".

Chairman Greenwood called for any discussion.

Supervisor Ehrhart moved to approve Resolution 16-10(R); motion was seconded by Supervisor Hansen.

Chairman Greenwood called for any further discussion.

Supervisor Moskalski provided printed data to the Board members he obtained from the Virginia Department of Education Superintendent's Annual Report for 2012-2013; the most recent data available. He began to explain the breakdown of the average daily membership and cost to each of the categories.

Supervisor Hansen said the motion for the resolution has nothing to do with dollars and asked that Supervisor Moskalski stick to the facts of the discussion.

Supervisor Moskalski offered to keep his comments to the categories only.

Supervisor Hansen asked the question of the Chairman as a point of information Supervisor Moskalski is not addressing the fact under discussion.

Chairman Greenwood asked the pleasure of the Board.

Supervisor Hodges feels this is important information and needs to be discussed.

Supervisor Ehrhart has no issues with Supervisor Moskalski reviewing the information provided but asked he try to keep to categories and not too far off the path.

Supervisor Moskalski continued with his focus on King William only and said his point is the categories are broken down specifically, including all nine categories that we want to be informed on, or we want to appropriate within. The contention we are making this a change to somehow promote transparency is ludicrous because the transparency exists already. This took him no more than one Google search on one click on a website to retrieve comparisons, if one so desires. He feels this is somehow a veil of criticism of the School Board and how they spend their money. He does not feel this is warranted or deserved. In his opinion, what this is really doing and accomplishing is it is setting a tone that this Board plans to be combative, uncooperative and not hold to decorum when it comes to dealing with our elected School Board. He would expound but apparently is bound by the language of the resolution. He opposes this resolution and he implores the rest of the Board to think about what this means; what message this sends to the School Board, the public, and to other people looking to move into King William County for our school system and how good it really is and how it measures up to other localities. He asked his fellow Board members to please think about what they are about the vote for.

Supervisor Hodges added that the opinion of the citizens he has talked with is they voted for the School Board members and the elected members were put in the position to direct and control this. He opposes the resolution.

Supervisor Hansen stated he also searched the Google system, as well as the State law and under section 22.1-115, and it does allow for the reporting of these 8 categories monthly basis to the State. Also within that law there is no allocation or direction in the State saying unless the local Board makes such a determination that would allow, that the Board of Supervisors can make the

determination or allocation by category, the School Board cannot shift money around from one category to another. He believes that supporting this motion, Resolution 16-10, is not an issue of budgetary restrictions but merely an issue of transparency and accountability. He said the Board members are accountable to the voters that put them in the position for every dollar of tax revenue. He feels this is merely a motion asking and requiring the School Board to tell us what amount of money they need in each category. He explained this is not asking for a line item decision, this is just to know how much money they are using in each category and that they will spend that money in that category or return to the Board of Supervisors and ask for additional funding. That is all he sees this being.

Chairman Greenwood called for any further discussions.

Supervisor Ehrhart stated this is an issue of change and people are reluctant to change; that is all this comes down to. He has talked to counties that do not have this and they do not necessarily want to go that route. He has also talked to counties that have it and they wouldn't want to do without it. To show his educational proudness he said T. S. Elliott was a poet from the late 1800's and one of his famous quotes was dealing with changes "What we call the beginning is often the end and to make an end is to make a beginning, the end is where we start from"; he said that is where we are. In his opinion, this Board was elected to make an end and to make a change and that is all this is. He said this is an issue of creating transparency, an issue of not being able to shift money around from one spot to another. He said that within the categories that are shown there is also some flexibility. He said he talked to the department of education and was told there is not a defined definition for administration. He said the Board is going to get information on the school board budget after the public has seen it; that doesn't make sense to him.

Supervisor Greenwood called for any further discussions.

Supervisor Ehrhart said there are two distinct processes here this is just to deal with the appropriations whether it is by category or lump sum for next year this is not the budget. There is nothing here that says the Board cannot increase the budget by one million or cut by one million dollars.

Supervisor Hansen added that this is not an attempt to micro manage the School Board, not in any way shape or form.

Chairman Greenwood called for any final discussions

There being no other discussions the Chairman called for the vote:

R. W. Ehrhart II	Aye
W. L. Hodges	Nay
T. J. Moskalski	Nay
D. E. Hansen	Aye
S. K. Greenwood	Nay

Approval of Resolution 16-10(R) was denied.

e. Discussion of King William County membership participation in the Middle Peninsula Planning District Commission – Supervisor Ehrhart introduced for discussion and consideration of reducing the funding to the membership participation of King William County to the Middle Peninsula Planning District Commission. He said this is one of the entities that tells the amount of funding the County has to provide to participate in the membership of the organization. In his opinion, this is the opposite of the way it should be. He feels the County should give what they feel is appropriate or tell them what they are willing to pay. He noted section two of the contract, with the MPPDC, requires any entity in the membership provide 150 days' notice of membership cancellation prior to the end of the fiscal year. He said the fiscal year ends June 30th so a cancellation notice needs to be provided by February 1st.

Supervisor Ehrhart moved for approval that the following proposed information be relayed to the Middle Peninsula Planning District Commission "For anticipated services rendered to the County, King William will agree to a contract amount of \$12,000 dollars for fiscal year 2017. Should the MPPDC determine that the amount of \$12,000 dollars is unacceptable this negotiation should constitute the County's intentions not to renew the contract for fiscal year 2017"; motion was seconded by Supervisor Hansen.

Chairman Greenwood called for any discussion.

Supervisor Moskalski noted he has served on the MPPDC for the last four years and was recently reappointed to serve another four year term. He feels the MPPDC provides invaluable work. He said the Board was provided with documentation well in advance of this meeting from the County Administrator and Mr. Lawrence, Director of the MPPDC, on the dollar value in excess of \$21,000 just in cost savings to the County of expert staff they provide and consulting services to keep us

from having to spend the money or hire staff to fill the gap. He said to do things like emergency evacuation transportation planning and other things you need experts.

Continuing, he said Mr. Lawrence is an excellent advocate for rural issues and especially Middle Peninsula issues. The General Assembly is currently considering consolidated storm water legislation that has the potential to cripple development in the Middle Peninsula, including King William County. Sufficed to say this is a bad bill. Delegate Hodges and Mr. Lawrence have been working very closely together in trying to stop this bill or to at least manage it, lobbying at the General Assembly for land owner rights in the Middle Peninsula, just another service they provide in advocacy. He said four years ago this was a source of consternation but working as a Board they became aware of exactly what the MPPDC provides to our Planning Department, Administration, and to our citizens. He feels this motion is short sided on not knowing what the MPPDC provides to our staff and our citizens and he hopes this motion is not approved.

Supervisor Hansen noted he is also a member of the MPPDC and has read the documents provided by them. He does not see anywhere in the documents where it says they are saving or giving back to King William \$21,000. He referenced the salaries provided in the information are \$374,315; and fringe benefits are \$116,701 as actual costs. He does not see that the return on investment is worthy of the commitment we have made in the past. He strongly supports reducing this amount to the suggested \$12,000.

Supervisor Moskalski clarified the salaries of the staff of the MPPDC, with the exception of the Secretary and a portion of the Executive Director's salary, is grant funded.

Supervisor Ehrhart feels there is some value and the reason he brings this up is because it is time sensitive. He said this Board is either willing to make some cuts everywhere or we are willing to dictate what we are going to pay to people. He mentioned one of the studies referenced by Supervisor Moskalski on emergencies and hazards, also talks about polar ice caps melting and sea level rising. Is that something that we really need to be paying for, to worry about the impact of rising sea level when the science has not been proven?

Supervisor Moskalski said he is shocked to hear Supervisor Ehrhart make that comment; he is unaware of any dispute of the sea level rising. The U.S. Department of the Navy acknowledges that sea level rise is one of the greatest threats to the fleet in the port of Virginia in Hampton Roads.

Supervisor Greenwood noted the Director of Community Development was unable to attend the meeting tonight, however he provided a memo to the Board in the January 11th meeting. The memo explained a lot of information on assistance provided by the MPPDC. The information he provided gave a very conservative estimate of \$21,000 in savings. He pointed out that we spent \$30,000 last year on the fire and rescue study alone. He also pointed out that each of the eight members of the MPPDC pay the same membership fee, regardless of the population of the locality, and King William is the second largest of the group. Initially he wanted to do away with this membership, but serving this Board for the past four years he feels we get at least \$16,300 worth of services from them. He has total faith in the savings information provided by the Director of Community Development. He speculated what will happen should we offer the MPPDC the reduced amount, if they do not accept and our membership is dropped; we will have to pay a lot more for the services and in turn this will make taxes go up.

Mr. Griffin added that the MPPDC provides significant assistance to the County in the Mt. Olive community by way of septic pump out services. In recent years they have provided about \$30,000 in pump out services at no cost to the County.

Supervisor Hansen asked for clarification of the actual savings, given the number of amounts provided and none of them being the same.

Mr. Griffin clarified the \$30,000 in savings he mentioned would be on top of the conservative estimate of \$21,000 provided by the Director of Community Development.

Chairman Greenwood called for any further discussions.

There being no other discussions the Chairman called for the vote:

W. L. Hodges	Nay
T. J. Moskalski	Nay
D. E. Hansen	Aye
R. W. Ehrhart	Aye
S. K. Greenwood	Nay

Approval of the motion was denied.

RE: ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS – K. CHARLES GRIFFIN, COUNTY

ADMINISTRATOR

Mr. Griffin stated we have received favorable response from the State on the application made for funding for road improvements at the Route 360 and Route 30 corridor; final determination will be made in May or June.

Continuing, he noted that Roya Pardis, in the Planning Department, has completed the Storm Water Inspector certification training offered by the State.

He also suggested, in trying to promote economic development, that the Board consider in future meetings taking the time to recognize one successful business each month; district by district. Staff can look for nominations and bring to the Board.

RE: APPOINTMENTS

No appointments were considered by the Board

RE: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COMMENTS

Chairman Greenwood opened the Board of Supervisors comment period.

Supervisor Hodges thanked everyone for coming out. He appreciates the schools and the citizens show of support. He said he is the 1st District Supervisor but he is trying to look out for the whole County. He announced Ace Hardware recently opened in the Town of West Point.

Supervisor Moskalski understands the zeal and the caring of a mandate but he said from the very beginning, when he was elected four years ago, and still he is about good government and that is what he wants to deliver. He does not want reckless decisions and he will not support decisions that are not made on good information. He welcomed the new Board members, said he looks forward to working with them and has enjoyed discussions that have been had. He will continue to push for good government and what he believes provide needed things for the people of the County. He thanked everyone for their advocacy and reaching out to ask questions in order to help and get involved. He feels citizen involvement is going to be essential to how this Board makes good decisions. He reiterated his respect of Mr. Redd and Mr. Williams and their guidance. He commended Mr. Williams noting that during his last term in

office he was going through cancer treatments and never missed a meeting or phone call through it all; a man truly dedicated to the community.

Supervisor Ehrhart echoed the appreciation of all who attended the meeting tonight. He said this is not a position you should look to stay permanently, we need change and he believes in term limits on all levels. He said those that think of themselves more highly, or some other higher political ambitions, need to think of themselves as servants. He feels this Board was not elected necessarily to be leaders, they were elected to serve in a capacity that is best for the whole County. He said the voters made a clear choice they want a new change and direction in this County.

Chairman Greenwood said there have been some heated discussions and that some are not happy with the way he has voted. He has served four years and has seen a lot of the same things reviewed today. He does not want to see animosity created between the two groups, they all need to work together. He agrees more meetings need to be held between this Board and the School Board. He noted the budget has not even began yet and a lot of work is still ahead. He said he was elected to this position four years ago because the taxes were too high; taxes were raised 12 cents last year. Hopefully something amicable can be worked out overall.

RE: ADJOURNMENT

There being no other business to come before this board Chairman Greenwood adjourned the meeting of this Board at 9:30 p.m.

COPY TESTE:

Stephen K. Greenwood, Chairman
Board of Supervisors

Bobbi L. Langston
Deputy Clerk to the Board